arXiv:hep-ph/9805480v1 27 May 1998

NEUTRINO FLAVOR MIXING AND OSCILLATIONS IN FIELD THEORY

ELISABETTA SASSAROLI
Laboratory for Nuclear Science and Department of Physics
Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA 02139, USA

Physics Department, Northeastern University, Boston, MA 02115

The Lagrangian that is normally associated with Dirac neutrinos is analyzed in a complete and simple way through field theory. It is found that the elements of the neutrino mass matrix are field strength renormalization constants and that the flavor fields can be applied directly to the one-particle energy states through these rescaling factors. Moreover this Lagrangian describes neutrinos which are in a state of mixed flavor at any space time point and therefore does not describe the phenomenology of neutrino oscillations properly, except in the ultra-relativitic limit where such a description is possible.

1 Introduction

Our discussion in the present paper will employ the following Lagrangian [1]

$$\mathcal{L} = \bar{\psi}_e(i\gamma\cdot\partial - m_e)\psi_e + \bar{\psi}_\mu(i\gamma\cdot\partial - m_\mu)\psi_\mu - \delta(\bar{\psi}_e\psi_\mu + \bar{\psi}_\mu\psi_e), \qquad (1.1)$$

which consist of two coupled Dirac neutrino fields ψ_e, ψ_μ with masses m_e and m_μ respectively. The interaction between the two fields is provided through a lepton number violating term with a coupling constant δ. The model allows for exact diagonalization. Neutrino and anti-neutrino flavor wave functions can be obtained as matrix elements of the quantized neutrino fields. For a general review about neutrino physics, see for example [2 – 7].

It is shown that the rotation matrix elements are field strength renormalization factors. Therefore the fields that makes sense to quantize are the free renormalized fields ψ_1 and ψ_2 obtained by the diagonalization of Eq. (1.1). Only for those fields it is possible to define creation and annihilation operators and one-particle states. The fields ψ_e and ψ_μ can be applied directly to the one-particle energy states through the rotation matrix.

Moreover, the conserved charge is the sum of the electron and muon charges which are not conserved separately. Therefore the above Lagrangian describes neutrinos which are in a state of mixed flavor at any space-time point and they do not describe the possibility to have only a given flavor at a given time, such as for example at production. Different authors came to the same conclusions through different approaches from the one shown here [8 – 10].

The problem may be a deep one and associated with the possibility that neutrinos violate the equivalence principle [11,12].

2 Field Theory Treatment

By diagonalizing the Lagrangian defined in Eq. (1.1) we obtain the renormalized masses

$$m_{1,2} = \frac{1}{2}[(m_e + m_\mu) \pm R], \tag{2.1}$$

with

$$R = \sqrt{(m_\mu - m_e)^2 + 4\delta^2}. \tag{2.2}$$

Corresponding to the positive energy solutions $E_{1,2} = \sqrt{m_{1,2}^2 + p^2}$, we have the eigenfunctions

$$\phi_{1,2}(\mathbf{x}, t) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+M_{1,2}^2}} \\ \frac{M_{1,2}}{\sqrt{1+M_{1,2}^2}} \end{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{V}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2E_{1,2}}} u_{1,2}(s, \mathbf{p}) e^{i\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{x}} e^{-iE_{1,2}t}, \tag{2.3}$$

where $s = 1, 2$ is the spin index, $u_{1,2}(s, \mathbf{p})$ are the Dirac spinors and

$$M_{1,2} = \frac{m_\mu - m_e \pm R}{2\delta}. \tag{2.4}$$

The rotation matrix U between the flavor fields ψ_e , ψ_μ and the free fields ψ_1 and ψ_2 of renormalized masses m_1, m_2 respectively can be written in terms of the flavor vectors given by Eq. (2.3) as

$$U = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+M_1^2}} & \frac{M_1}{\sqrt{1+M_1^2}} \\ \frac{M_1}{\sqrt{1+M_1^2}} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+M_1^2}} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{2.5}$$

where we have used the fact that $M_1 M_2 = -1$. It is possible to see that if we write the electron and neutrino fields ψ_e , ψ_μ in terms of the fields ψ_1 and ψ_2 by means of the rotation matrix U, the interacting Lagrangian given by Eq. (1.1) becomes uncoupled, i.e.

$$\mathcal{L}_D = \bar{\psi}_1(i\gamma \cdot \partial - m_1)\psi_1 + \bar{\psi}_2(i\gamma \cdot \partial - m_2)\psi_2. \tag{2.6}$$

The fields ψ_1 and ψ_2 are the dynamical variables which have to be quantized through the canonical anti-commutation relations. Only for them is it possible to define creation and annihilation operators and one-particle states. The fields

ψ_e and ψ_μ can be applied to the energy one-particle states using the rotation matrix defined in Eq. (2.5). For example, the neutrino wavefunction associated with the one-particle state of defined energy E_1 ($|1_{\mathbf{p}s}>$) is

$$\psi_\nu(\mathbf{x},t) = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_e(\mathbf{x},t) \\ \psi_\mu(\mathbf{x},t) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} <0|\hat{\psi}_e(\mathbf{x},t)|1_{\mathbf{p}s}> \\ <0|\hat{\psi}_\mu(\mathbf{x},t)|1_{\mathbf{p}s}> \end{pmatrix} =$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+M_1^2}} \\ \frac{M_1}{\sqrt{1+M_1^2}} \end{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{V}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2E_1}} u_1(s,\mathbf{p}) e^{i\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{x}} e^{-iE_1 t}. \tag{2.7}$$

This, being a plane wave, gives a stationary probability of finding a neutrino at a given space-time point. However in any location inside the volume V there is a probability equal to $(\frac{1}{1+M_1^2})$ of finding the neutrino in the electron flavor and probability equal to $(\frac{M_1^2}{1+M_1^2})$ of finding it in the muon flavor.

The constants $(\frac{1}{1+M_1^2})$ and $(\frac{M_1^2}{1+M_1^2})$ are field-strength renormalization factors and give the probability amplitude for the field operator ψ_e (ψ_μ) to create a one-particle eigenfunction of definite energy.

To be able to describe neutrinos which are in a superposition of different energies, we need a superposition of one-particle states. A general state of positive charge, momentum $\mathbf{p}$ and spin s is given by

$$|\phi_+> = [Ab_1^\dagger(s,\mathbf{p}) + Bb_2^\dagger(s,\mathbf{p})]|0>, \tag{2.8}$$

where A and B specify the amount of each normal mode state of positive energy present in the state $|\phi_+>$ and

$$|A|^2 + |B|^2 = 1. \tag{2.9}$$

However it is possible to show that even the flavor wavefunctions associated with the state given by Eq. (2.8) describe neutrinos which are in a state of mixed flavor at any space-time point [1,13]. Therefore they do not describe properly the neutrino oscillation phenomenology, where it is assumed that only one flavor is present at production. The standard neutrino oscillation probabilities can be recovered by making some *ad hoc* ultra-relativistic approximations in the wavefunctions.

The generalization of the model described here to two component Majorana neutrinos and to the CKM matrix for the quark sector can be found respectively in Ref.[13] and Ref. [14].

Acknowledgments

The author would like to thank Prof. Alan H. Guth for his constructive criticism. She would also like to acknowledge fruitful discussions with the MIT atomic and molecular interferometry group.

This work is supported in part by funds provided by the U.S. Department of Energy (D. O. E.) under cooperative research agreement #DF-FC02-94ER40818.

References

1. Elisabetta Sassaroli, hep-ph/9609476, MIT-CTP-2571.
2. S. M. Bilenky and B. Pontecorvo, *Phys. Rep.* **41**, 225 (1978).
3. F. Boehm and P. Vogel, *Physics of Massive Neutrinos* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England (1987)).
4. B. Kayser, F. Gibrat-Debu, and F. Perrier, *The Physics of Massive neutrinos* (World Scientific, Singapore, 1989)
5. J. N. Bahcall, *Neutrino Physics and Astrophysics* (Cambridge University Press, 1989).
6. R. N. Mohapatra, and P. B. Pal, *Massive Neutrinos in Physics and Astrophysics* (World Scientific, Singapore, 1991).
7. C. W. Kim and A. Pevsner, *Neutrinos in Physics and Astrophysics* (Harwood Academic Publishers, 1993).
8. T. Kanedo, Y. Ohnuki and K. Watanabe, *Prog. Theor. Phys.***30** (1963) 521; K. Fujii, *Il Nuovo Cimento* **34** (1964) 722.
9. C. Giunti, C. K. Kim, J. A. Lee, *Phys. Rev. D* **48** (1993) 4310.
10. M. Blasone and G. Vitiello, *Ann. Phys.* **244**, (1995) 283 ; E. Alfinito, M. Blasone, A. Iorio, and G. Vitiello, *Phys. Lett. B* **362**, (1995) 91; M. Blasone, P. A. Henning and G. Vitiello hep-ph/9803157.
11. S. Coleman and S. L. Glashow *Phys. Lett. B* **405** (1997) 249.
12. S. L. Glashow, A. Halprin, P. I. Krastev, C. N. Leung and J. Pantaleone *Phys. Rev. D* **56** (1997) 2433.
13. Elisabetta Sassaroli, hep-ph/9710239, MIT-CTP-2673
14. Elisabetta Sassaroli, hep-ph/9804402,MIT-CTP-2735.